

ATM GmbH

Emil-Reinert-Str. 2 57636 Mammelzen, Germany

Phone:	+49 (0) 2681 9539-0
Fax:	+49 (0) 2681 9539-27

E-Mail: info@atm-m.com Internet www.atm-m.com

The Perfect Smile Materialographic preparation of ceramic dental implants

Introduction

Titanium and titanium alloys are the materials most frequently used for dental implantology and have become a gold standard for tooth replacement. A disadvantage of titanium, however, is its grayish color which is sometimes visible through the periimplant mucosa. Moreover, research has shown that metals are able to induce a nonspecific immunomodulation and autoimmunity. [1]

New ceramic materials are likely to overcome these drawbacks. [2] However, ceramics are known to be sensitive to shear and tensile loading which may lead to early material failure. Consequently, high-strength zirconia ceramics have become the materials of choice for dental implants.

When defining the mechanical and prosthetic capacities of the implant body, the material and processing characteristics need to be considered. That means both the monoclinic and tetragonal phases of zirconium dioxide (ZrO_2) should be taken into account. Compared to the monoclinic phase,



 ZrO_2 can resist extreme loading forces in the tetragonal phase; moreover, its high biocompatibility makes it an ideal dental material. Beside these positive properties, its enormous stiffness leads to fracture at the load limit. These characteristics influence the design, mainly with respect to production, the application and the properties of one-piece and two-piece implant systems.





Figure 1: Cut-off machine Brillant 220.

Table 1: Mounting parameters

Table 2: Cutting parameters



Figure 2: Grinder/Polisher Saphir 250 A1-ECO Two different approaches for producing implants exist in the market: one-piece (= screw-retained) and two-piece (= cemented) ZrO_2 implants. The advantage of one-piece ZrO_2 implants is the absence of a micro-gap. Two-piece implants made of ZrO_2 show the risk of fractures or loosening at the connection between implant and abutment. The latest two-piece systems are designed in a way to avoid these risks altogether. Hence, there are no significant disadvantages in comparison to two-piece titanium implants.

Thanks to new techniques, like digital planning, together with the prosthetic diversity of two-piece ZrO_2 systems, it is possible to combine the adequate prosthetic solution with the surgically reasonable position of the implant. Thus, faulty functionalities, including the presumed fracture risk, can be avoided in advance.

Materialographic preparation of ZrO₂ samples

The zirconiumdioxide used for dental implants needs to be examined for its suitability. The materialographic sample preparation of an ZrO_2 implant to determine the porosity is described in the following paragraph. Porosity is a critical factor with major influence on the mechanical stability and tissue ingrowth properties.

Preparation Method

As the sample shows a natural porosity and fractures at a specific pressure limit it was mounted by using the castable material KEM 15 plus with a mixing ratio of 1.5:1 of powder and liquid. The final mount has a diameter of 40 mm.

Cold Mounting

Mounting material	naterial Mixing ratio Curing time		Mould	
KEM 15plus	1.5 : 1	12 min	PTFE, Ø 40 mm	
	(powder : liquid)			

The mounted sample was clamped on a clamping vice 60 with a prism jaw and cut in a **Brillant 220 cut-off machine** using an ATM diamond cut-off wheel for ceramics afterwards. The cutting parameters of the direct cut were 0.08 mm/s constant feed speed at 2500 rpm. The Brillant 220 model is a compact, user-friendly precision wet abrasive cutting machine. It can be fitted with up to three automatic axes (X, Y, Z) and several cutting modes for maximum flexibility and ideal use of space.

Cutting

Equipment	Cut-off wheel	Anti-corrosion coolant	Clamping tool		
Brillant 220		ATM CoolCut	Clamping vice 60 with prism jaw		
Cutting method:	direct cut				
Parameters:	Feed speed	Pulse parameter	Rotational speed		
	0.08 mm/s	none	2500 rpm		
Notes:	At the beginning and at the end of the cut the feed speed should be reduced.				

Grinding/Polishing

The grinding and polishing procedure was carried out in a **Saphir 250 A1-ECO** using consumables with abrasives of diamond and hard polishing cloths on metal sheet. The first step is planar grinding using a diamond grinding foil of 74 μ m grain size with 30 N individual pressure followed by 6 minutes grinding at 45 N individual pressure on a Galaxy green grinding disc. The Saphir 250 A1-ECO grinder/polisher is a single-wheel grinding and polishing machine with working wheels in Ø 200/250 mm which is conveniently operated via a 4.3" touch screen.



The first polishing step was performed for 6 minutes with 30 N individual pressure on a Galaxy BETA polishing cloth and 9 μ m Dia-Complete poly diamond suspension. After this pre-polishing step the sample was polished for 5 minutes on a Galaxy DELTA polishing cloth with 30 N individual pressure and 3 μ m Dia-Complete poly diamond suspension. Final polishing was done with Eposil F for 2 minutes followed by rinsing with water for the last 20 s and 30 N individual pressure.

Device	Sample holder	Pressure					
SAPHIR 250 A1-ECO	Z5400336+ 05400084	individual					
Step	MEDIUM	2 7:	Trpm	8	↓F _N	b _{min}	
Planar grinding	Diamond grinding foil 74µm	H ₂ O	300	•	30	Until plane	
Grinding	Galaxy green	H ₂ O	300	**	45	6:00	
Pre-polishing	Galaxy BETA	Dia- Complete Poly, 9µm	150	•	30	6:00	
Polishing	Galaxy DELTA	Dia- Complete Poly, 3µm	150	**	30	5:00	
Final polishing	Galaxy OMEGA	Eposil F, 0.1µm	150	**	30	2:00 (H ₂ O for last 0:20s)	
Notes:	Planar grinding takes about 3 – 5 minutes.						





References

[1] Stejskal J, Stejskal VD. The role of metals in autoimmunity and the link to neuroendocrinology. Neuroendocrinol Lett. 1999;20:351–364.

[2] Kohal RJ, Klaus G. A zirconia implant-crown system: a case report. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2004;24:147–153

Table 3: Grinding and polishing parameters.

Figure 3: Both bright field images have a magnification of 500x. The porosity of the sample varies depending on the manufacturing process. Left: the porosity is larger compared to the image on the right-hand side, which shows the screw thread part.